



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/994,998	11/27/2001 90 05/30/2003	Sukant Tripathy	Sukant Tripathy 2328.2003-001 9119	
N. Scott Pierce HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 Virginia Road P.O. Box 9133 Concord, MA 01742-9133			EXAMINER	
			TRUONG, DUC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1711	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/994,998	TRIPATHY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Duc Truong	1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 N	<u>1ay 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) $\underline{6-44}$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🗆	he specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '			
11) 🔲 🏾	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
12) 🗌 🏾	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been rece	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 9			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chem Abstract 128: 162418.

The reference discloses a compound comprising hematin derivatized with one or more non-proteinaceous amphipathic groups such as polyalkylene glycol (see Abstract).

The disclosure of the reference differs from the instant claims in that it does not disclose the claimed characteristics such as soluble in solutions ranging from pH 1 to pH 12 and the claimed MW.

However, the composition disclosed by the reference is prepared from reactants and under process conditions that are inclusive of the claimed reactants and conditions. In view of this similarity, it would appear to be inherent that the product, a compound, having the claimed soluble in solutions ranging from pH 1 to pH 12 and the claimed

Art Unit: 1711

MW, could be prepared following the teachings of the reference. See In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 703-308-2437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

DT May 29, 2003

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER